1	DENNIS J. HERRERA, State Bar #139669				
2	City Attorney				
2	JOANNE HÖEPER, State Bar #114961 Chief Trial Deputy				
3					
	JAMES M. EMERY, State Bar #153630 Deputy City Attorney				
4	Fox Plaza				
5	1390 Market Street, Sixth Floor San Francisco, California 94102-5408 Telephone: (415) 554-4261				
6	Telephone: (415) 554-4261 Facsimile: (415) 554-3837				
	E-Mail: jim.emery@sfgov.org				
7					
8					
0	Attorneys for Defendant CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO				
9	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO				
10					
11	LINITED OT ATE	COLUMN COLUMN			
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10	l				
13	CRAIG YATES,	Case No. C07-4087 ED	L		
14	Plaintiff,	SCHEDULING STIP	III.ATION AND		
	Tidintiii,	[PROPOSED] ORDE			
15	VS.				
16	LINHON COLLADE CUEV AND COLINEY	T ' 1 D 4	NT .		
10	UNION SQUARE; CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO; CITY OF SAN	Trial Date:	Not set		
17	FRANCISCO UPTOWN PARKING				
	CORPORATION; EMPORIO RULLI IL				
18	CAFFE UNION SQ.; EMPORIO RULLI				
19	IL CAFFE UNION SQ., INC.; and DOES				
19	1 through 50, Inclusive,				
20	Defendants.				
	Borondanto.				
21					
22					
22	By and through their respective counsel, the parties hereby stipulate as follows.				
23	by and anough their respective counsel, the parties hereby supulate as follows:				
2.4	WHEREAS:				
24	When plaintiff commenced this action August 7, 2007, the Court issued a scheduling order				
25	When plaintiff commenced this action August 7, 2007, the Court issued a scheduling order				
	requiring the parties to conduct a joint inspection of premises under General Order 56 on or before				
26					
27	November 19, 2007.				
27					
28	SCHEDULING STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]	1	n:\lit\li2007\080216\00449337.doc		
I	,				

1

ORDER

CASE NO. C07-4087 EDL

1	Since that time, defendants filed a motion to dismiss pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6), the		
2	Court has reassigned the case, and most recently, on November 8, 2007, the Court ruled on		
3	defendants' motion to consider whether this case is related to Kirola v. City & County of San		
4	Francisco, Case No. C 07-03685 SBA.		
5	In view of the procedural history of this case to date, the parties jointly request a short		
6	continuance of the time for conducting the joint inspection of premises under General Order 56.		
7	NOW THEREFORE:		
8	The Parties shall conduct the joint inspection of premises under General Order 56 on or before		
9	December 7, 2007.		
10	SO STIPULATED:		
11 12	Dated: November 14, 2007	By: /s/ Timothy S. Thimesch	
13		Attorneys for Plaintiff CRAIG YATES	
14			
15	Dated: November 14, 2007	By: /s/ JAMES M. EMERY	
1617		Attorneys for Defendant CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO	
18			
19	Dated: November 14, 2007	By:/s/ MARTIN H. ORLICK	
20		Attorneys for Defendants	
21		CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO and SAN FRANCISCO UPTOWN PARKING CORPORATION	
22		TRANCISCO OF TOWN FARRING CORFORATION	
23			
24	SO ORDERED:		
25	Dated:	HON. JEFFREY S. WHITE	
26		United States District Judge	
27			

SCHEDULING STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CASE NO. C07-4087 EDL

28